B 工厂中 J/ψ 的单举产生

Yan-Qing Ma yqma.cn@gmail.com



萃英沙龙第35期 Dec. 14 2009

1 Introduction

Heavy quarkonia have been of great interest nowadays. An effective and successful theory for heavy quakonia is Non-Relativistic QCD (NRQCD)[*].

Successes of NRQCD in heavy quakonia production

- \star Quarkonium Production at Tevatron and color-octet mechanism;
- $\star~\gamma\gamma \rightarrow J/\psi$ at LEP;

Sector Puzzles in NRQCD Factorization Approach <

- \star J/ψ production in e^+e^- annihilation at B Factories.
- * Polarization of quarkonium at Tevatron [**];
- * Production cross sections ratio of χ_{c1} to χ_{c2} at Tevatron;

[*] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 1125 (1995) [**] For new developments,

J. Campbell, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett.98:252002,2007;

P. Artoisenet, et al., Phys. Lett. B653:60-66,2007; Phys. Rev. Lett.101:152001,2008;

B. Gong, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**:232001,2008; Phys. Rev. D**78**, 074011 (2008); arXiv:0805.4751.

洗择课题

NLO correction is very important.

- An accurate knowledge of a cross section requires its calculation to at least nextto-leading order (NLO).
- Moreover, a number of recent calculations[*] show that the NLO QCD correction to heavy quarkonia maybe very large.
- So, it is crucial to know the NLO correction to these puzzles.
- [*] Y. J. Zhang and K. T. Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 092003 (2007); arXiv:0808.2985;
- R. Li and J. X. Wang, arXiv:0811.0963;
- B. Gong, et al., arXiv:0805.4751; Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 232001 (2008); Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 181803 (2008);
- J. Campbell, F. Maltoni, F. Tramontano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98,252002(2007);
- P. Artoisenet, J.P. Lansberg, F. Maltoni, Phys. Lett.B653, 60 (2007);

2
$$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + X(non - c\bar{c})$$

2.1. Motivation

The ratio $R_{c\bar{c}}$ measured by Belle is much larger than the theoretical prediction, where

$$R_{c\bar{c}} = \frac{\sigma[e^+e^- \to J/\psi + c\bar{c} + X]}{\sigma[e^+e^- \to J/\psi + X]},\tag{1}$$

Solution The experiment data of Belle[*]:

$$R_{c\bar{c}} = 0.59^{+0.15}_{-0.13} \pm 0.12 \tag{2}$$

In EPS'2003 Belle's result[**]:

$$R_{c\bar{c}} = 0.82 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.14 \tag{3}$$

 $1 \ge 10$ theoretical prediction (including color-octet contribution) $\approx 0.1 \sim 0.3$ [***].

[*] K. Abe *et al.* [BELLE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 142001 (2002).
[**] T.V. Uglov, Eur. Phys. J. C **33**, S235 (2004).
[***]P. L. Cho and A. K. Leibovich, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 6690 (1996) ;F. Yuan, C. F. Qiao and K. T. Chao, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 321 (1997);



Belle's result of $R_{c\bar{c}}$ in EPS'2003.

Many theoretical studies were suggested in order to resolve the discrepancy, but the results are unsatisfactory.

- S Liu, He, Chao considered two photons contribution[*].
- S Kaidalov introduced the nonperturbative quark-gluon-string model [**].
- Solution Kang, Lee, and Lee get $R_{c\bar{c}} = 0.049$ in color-evaporation-model[***].
- Serection Serection Serection $\sigma[J/\psi + c\overline{c}]$ with the light cone wave function for massive charm quark, and found the effect can be neglected [****].
- Serezhnoy and Likhoded calculate $R_{c\bar{c}}$ with two pQCD methods: J/ψ wave function and quark-hadron duality. Their result is $R_{c\bar{c}} = 0.09 \sim 0.17$ [*****].

[*] K. Y. Liu, Z. G. He and K. T. Chao, arXiv:hep-ph/0301218, arXiv:hep-ph/0305084.
[**] A. B. Kaidalov, JETP Lett. 77, 349 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0301246].
[***] D. Kang, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 71, 094019 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0412381];
[****] A. V. Berezhnoy, arXiv:hep-ph/0703143.
[*****] A. V. Berezhnoy and A. K. Likhoded, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 67, 757 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0303145].

* In NRQCD, $\sigma[J/\psi + X]$ includes color-singlet contributions $\sigma[J/\psi({}^{3}S_{1}^{[1]}) + c\bar{c}]$ and $\sigma[J/\psi({}^{3}S_{1}^{[1]}) + gg]$, and color-octet contribution $\sigma[J/\psi({}^{3}P_{J}^{[8]}, {}^{1}S_{0}^{[8]}) + g]$. Contributions of other Eock states are suppressed by α_{s} or v^{2} .

从自己的能力出发

* The observed end point behavior of J/ψ and the large ratio $R_{c\bar{c}}$ might indicate that the color-octet matrix elements are much smaller than previously expected.

* To test this thought we assume the color-octet contribution to be ignored and only consider the color-singlet contributions, then $R_{c\bar{c}} = \frac{\sigma[J/\psi + c\bar{c}]}{(\sigma[J/\psi + c\bar{c}] + \sigma[J/\psi + gg])}.$

* considering the crucially importance of the NLO QCD corrections found in many heavy quarkonium production processes, it is necessary to carry out the NLO QCD correction to $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + gg$, and give a prediction for $R_{c\bar{c}}$ at NLO in α_s . Note that, at the end of our study, Belle reported a new (preliminary) measurement with higher statistics[*]:

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to J/\psi + c\bar{c}) = (0.74 \pm 0.08^{+0.09}_{-0.08}) \ pb, \tag{4}$$

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to J/\psi + non(c\bar{c})) = (0.43 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09) \ pb.$$
(5)

which give the cross section of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + non(c\bar{c}))$ for the first time. It also should be interpreted in theoretics.

[*] P. Pakhlov, talk given at the International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonium 2008, Nara, Japan, Dec.2-5, 2008.

$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi$ cc and non-cc cross sections



2.2. NLO Correction

The production amplitude:

$$\mathcal{A}(a+b \to Q\bar{Q}(^{2S_{\psi}+1}L_{J_{\psi}})(2p_{1}) + g(k_{3}) + g(k_{4})$$

$$= \sqrt{C_{L_{\psi}}} \sum_{L_{\psi z}S_{\psi z}} \sum_{s_{1},s_{2}} \sum_{jk,il} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^{2}} \sum_{s_{1},s_{2}} \sum_{jk,il} \langle s_{1}; s_{2}|S_{\psi}S_{\psi z}\rangle \langle L_{\psi}L_{\psi z}; S_{\psi}S_{\psi z}|J_{\psi}J_{\psi z}\rangle \langle 3j; \bar{3}k|1\rangle$$

$$\times \mathcal{A}(a+b \to Q_{j}(p_{1}) + \bar{Q}_{k}(p_{1}) + g(k_{3}) + g(k_{4}))$$
(6)



Half LO Feynman diagrams for $e^{-}(k_1)e^{+}(k_2) \rightarrow J/\psi(2p_1) + g(k_3) + g(k_4)$.



54 real Feynman diagrams for $e^-e^+ \rightarrow J/\psi gg$.



●First ●Prev ●Next ●Last ●Go Back ●Full Screen ●Close ●Qu

UV-divergences from self-energy and triangle diagrams are removed by renormalization. Renormalization constants are defined as:

$$\delta Z_m^{OS} = -3C_F \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} N_\epsilon \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon_{UV}} + \frac{4}{3} \right],$$

$$\delta Z_2^{OS} = -C_F \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} N_\epsilon \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon_{UV}} + \frac{2}{\epsilon_{IR}} + 4 \right],$$

$$\delta Z_3^{OS} = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} N_\epsilon \left[(\beta_0(n_{lf}) - 2C_A)(\frac{1}{\epsilon_{UV}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}}) - \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{UV}} \right],$$

$$\delta Z_g^{\overline{MS}} = -\frac{\beta_0(n_f)}{2} \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} N_\epsilon \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon_{UV}} + \ln \frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \right],$$
(7)

where $N_{\epsilon} = \left(\frac{4\pi\mu^2}{m^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \Gamma(1+\epsilon)$ is a overall factor in our calculation, $\beta_0(n_f) = \frac{11}{3}C_A - \frac{4}{3}T_F n_f$ is the one-loop coefficient of the QCD beta function, $n_f = 4$ is the number of active quark flavors, $n_{lf} = 3$ is the number of light quark flavors, and μ is the renormalization scale.



- Soft and collinear singularity coming from loop-integration and phase space integration of real correction cancel each other.
- We use the method in [*] to separate the soft and collinear singularities in the virtual corrections, and use phase space slicing method[**] to extract poles in real correction, then treat the singular parts analytically while the finite part numerically.

[*] S. Dittmaier, Nucl. Phys. B 675, 447 (2003);
[**] B. W. Harris and J. F. Owens, Phys. Rev. D 65, 094032 (2002).

When we separate the soft singularity, the Coulomb singularity three-point function also appears

$$C_0[m^2, 4m^2, m^2, 0, m^2, m^2] = \frac{1}{2m^2} N_\epsilon \left[-\frac{1}{\epsilon_{IR}} + 2 \right],$$
(8)

where the Coulomb pole will be mapped into the wave function of J/ψ .

3 Conclusion and discussion

Input parameters: $|R_{J/\psi}(0)|^2 = 1.01 \text{ GeV}^3$, m = 1.4 GeV, $m_{J/\psi} = 2m$, $\Lambda_{\overline{MS}}^{(4)} = 338 \text{ MeV}$. Then $\alpha_s(\mu) = 0.267$ for $\mu = 2m$, and the cross section at NLO in α_s is

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to J/\psi gg) = 0.498 \text{ pb},\tag{9}$$

which is a factor of 1.19 larger then the LO cross section 0.418 pb.

We see the NLO QCD correction improves the renormalization scale μ dependence substantially.



 $\sigma[e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi gg]$ as functions of renormalization scale μ at LO and NLO in α_s .

• In contrast with $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi c\bar{c})$ at NLO in α_s [*], where correction is much larger

(K factor=1.8 for m = 1.4 GeV and $\mu = 2m$).

 \implies $R_{c\bar{c}}$ is 0.491 at NLO and 0.397 at LO.

• The contribution of $\psi(2S)$ decay into J/ψ should be included. It enhance the cross section by a factor 0.355[*].

[*] Y. J. Zhang and K. T. Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 092003 (2007).

- If we select m = 1.4 GeV and $\mu = 2m$, the prompt production cross section of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi gg)$ is 0.68 pb at NLO in α_s and 0.57 pb at LO.
- The prompt production cross section of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi c\bar{c})$ is given in Ref.[*], which is 0.70 pb at NLO and 0.43 pb at LO (color octet contributions is excluded).
- Then we give $R_{c\bar{c}} = 0.51$ at NLO and $R_{c\bar{c}} = 0.43$ at LO.

The LO $R_{c\bar{c}}$ is fix at 0.397 and much lower than the experiment data. The NLO QCD corrections can enhance $R_{c\bar{c}}$ to the band of the experiment data.



 $R_{c\bar{c}}$ as functions of renormalization scale μ at LO and NLO in α_s . Here we choose $m_c = 1.4$

Compare with the newest data:

With a smaller $|R_{J/\psi}(0)|^2 = 0.810 \text{GeV}^3$ and $m = (1.4 \pm 0.1) \text{GeV}$, the predictions become $(0.54^{-0.11}_{+0.14})$ pb for $\mu = 2m$ and $(0.43^{-0.08}_{+0.09})$ pb for $\mu = \sqrt{s/2}$.

Somparing with Belle data:

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to J/\psi + non(c\bar{c})) = (0.43 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09) \ pb. \tag{10}$$

Solution Predictions (NLO with feeddown) for $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + gg)$ are consistent with the new measurement of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + non(c\bar{c}))$ within certain uncertainties.

Differential cross sections are shown following:

The differential cross section.



- We find that, although NLO correction to total cross section is small(about 0.2), it changes the differential cross section a lot which makes the theoretic calculation more consistent with the experiment data.
- Secause the NLO correction is small, we have confidence that the NNLO and higher order correction will be even smaller, and the calculation to $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + gg$ is accurate enough.
- Solution Both from total cross section and differential cross section, we find that, $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + gg$ might have already saturated the observed $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + non(c\bar{c})$.
- Conclusion: leaving no much room for the color-octet contributions.
- Especially, NLO to $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi + g$ has considered in[*], which gives a K factor of 1.7. Thus, color octet matrix elements in production maybe much smaller than they were expected before.
- [*] Yu-Jie Zhang, Yan-Qing Ma, Kuang-Ta Chao, To be submitted.

Thanks!











●First ●Prev ●Next ●Last ●Go Back ●Full Screen ●Close ●Qu



★一个人每天读什么样水平的文章,就决定了他能做什么样水平的文章;

★ 立足于当前的热点问题——除非你有创造热点的能力;

★课题难度要适度,既要对自己有些挑战,又不能太为难自己;



* 计算机程序是我们这代人的科研必备基础;

★师兄师姐是我们初入科研时的导向灯;

★与人合作是我们科研成长的台阶;

★ 如果我看得更远的话,那是因为我站在巨人的肩膀上:
 要学会把别人的科研成果应用在自己的科研过程中;



* 对自己的科研成果要诚信;

★ 向导师学习,如何发现成果的发光点;

* 合理的组织语言,多听别人的修改意见。

Yu-Jie Zhang, Yan-Qing Ma, Kuang-Ta Chao, Phys. Rev. D:78, 054006, (2008). IF:5.050 Citation:18

Yan-Qing Ma, Yu-Jie Zhang, Kuang-Ta Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett:102,162002, (2009). IF:7.180 Citation:16

Ying Fan, Yan-Qing Ma, Kuang-Ta Chao, Phys. Rev. D:79,114009, (2009). IF:5.050 Citation:2

Ying Fan, Zhi-Guo He, Yan-Qing Ma, Kuang-Ta Chao, Phys. Rev. D:80,014001, (2009). IF:5.050 Citation:1

Yu-Jie Zhang, Yan-Qing Ma, Kai Wang, Kuang-Ta Chao, arXiv:0911.2166